

SEP. 14. 2007 9:50AM

HARRINGTON & SMITH

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 456 P. 1

SEP 14 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/539,914 Confirmation No.: 6534
Applicant(s): Droesbeke et al.
Filed: 11/14/2005
Art Unit: 2831
Examiner: Ngo, Hung V.
Title: Shielding Cage

Attorney Docket No.: 003D.0068.U1(US)
Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 06/29/2007 in regard to the above-identified patent application.

Claims 15-21, 25-32, 36 and 37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Benzoni (US 5,416,668). Claims 22-24 and 33-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Benzoni (US 5,416,668). The examiner is requested to reconsider these rejections.

The examiner stated that the limitation of "die cast" has been considered, but does not result in a structural difference. The independent claims of the present application comprises a structural difference which is not disclosed or suggested in the cited art.

Claim 15 claims that "the shielding cage is a die-cast member." The examiner appears to have indicated that the